



National Audit Standard

For Heavy Vehicle Accreditation

October 2025

Contents

1	Introduction	5
	1.1 Purpose	5
	1.2 Scope and Applicability	5
	1.3 Intention and Objectives	5
	1.4 Legal status of the National Audit Standard	6
	1.5 How the National Audit Standard may be amended	6
2	NHVAS Accreditation	6
	2.1 Safety Management System (SMS)	7
	2.2 General Safety Accreditation	7
	2.3 Alternative Compliance Accreditation	7
3	Audit Rules and Principles	8
	3.1 Audit Objectives	8
	3.2 Audit Types & Frequency	8
	3.2.1 Entry Audit	8
	3.2.2 Initial Compliance Audit	9
	3.2.3 Compliance Audit	9
	3.2.4 Internal Reviews	9
	3.3 Audit Location	9
	3.3.1 Approvals for variation	9
	3.3.2 Multiple Sites	9
	3.3.3 Adding or Closing Sites	10
	3.4 Other Performance Monitoring Activities	10
	3.4.1 Investigation of complaints	11
	3.4.2 Information from on-road intercepts	11
	3.4.3 Information supplied by operators	11
	3.4.4 Spot checks	11
	3.5 Selection of Auditor	11
	3.5.1 Auditor Nomination by NHVR	11
	3.6 Conflict of Interest	12
	3.6.1 Strengthening Auditor Independence	12
	3.6.2 NHVR GO User Accounts and Access Control	12
	3.7 One Period Rule	12
4	Conducting an Audit	12
	4.1 Audit Process	12
	4.2 Audit Tool	13
	4.3 Operator Use of NHVR Audit Tool	13
	4.4 Preparing for the Audit	13
	4.5 Determining Audit Duration	14
	4.6 Opening Meeting	14
	4.7 Collecting and Verifying Evidence	15
	4.7.1 PSOE Method	15
	4.7.2 Sampling documentation	16

4.7.3	Interviewing Staff	16
	4.8 Generating Audit Findings	17
	4.9 Conducting the Closing Meeting	17
	4.10 Completing the Report	17
4.10.1	Standardisation and Level of Detail to be Recorded	17
4.10.2	Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) by Auditors	17
	4.11 Assurance Codes	18
4.11.1	Major Nonconformance	19
4.11.2	Minor Nonconformance	20
4.11.3	Opportunity For Improvement (OFI)	20
	4.12 Corrective Action Requests (CARs)	21
4.12.1	Closing out a Corrective Action	21
4.12.2	Failure to respond to a Corrective Action	21
	4.13 Issuing the Audit Report	21
5	Auditors	22
	5.1 Auditor Registration	22
	5.2 Auditor Compliance Rules	22
	5.3 Auditor Code of Conduct	23
	5.3.1 Integrity	24
5.3.2	Professionalism	24
5.3.3	Identification Cards	24
5.3.4	Fair Presentation	24
5.3.5	Due Care and Accountability	25
5.3.6	Confidentiality	25
5.3.7	Independence	25
5.3.8	Conflict of Interest	25
5.3.9	Evidence-Based Approach	26
5.3.10	Risk-based approach	26
5.3.11	Duty to Disclose	26
	5.4 NHVR Rights and Auditor Performance Monitoring	26
5.4.1	Triggers for Performance Review or Investigation	27
5.4.2	Outcomes of Reviews or Investigations	27
5.4.3	Evaluation Methods	27
5.4.4	Remedial Actions	28
5.4.5	Review and Appeals	28
6	Registered Training Organisation (RTO)	28
7	Glossary	28
8	Related Legislation and Documents	30

Tables

1. Table 1: Sample size for multi-site operators
2. Table 2: Sample size for accredited vehicle/drivers
3. Table 3: Assurance Codes

1 Introduction

1.1 Purpose

The National Audit Standard (NAS) provides a consistent and impartial framework for auditing heavy vehicle operators under the National Heavy Vehicle Accreditation Scheme (NHVAS). It focuses on assessing the effectiveness of Safety Management Systems (SMS) and operator performance with regards to any accreditation requirements, offering guidance on how audits are conducted, who performs them, and how the results support accreditation and safer transport operations.

The NAS promotes performance-based assurance, shifting beyond just compliance to evaluate whether safety systems are functioning effectively and improving outcomes. It highlights key principles, such as:

- Risk management must extend beyond regulatory compliance.
- All operators, regardless of size, must effectively implement SMS elements.
- SMS frameworks should be scalable, practical, and tailored to an organisation's operations.

The NAS establishes a unified approach for auditors, operators, and the National Heavy Vehicle Regulator (NHVR) to assess the maturity and effectiveness of all key safety management elements within heavy vehicle accreditation, ensuring that public risks associated with transport activities are identified and effectively managed.

The NAS should be read in conjunction with:

- Heavy Vehicle National Law (HVNL)
- Applicable Regulations
- SMS Standard and attached Schedule 1 – SMS Evidence Requirements
- Ministerial Guidelines for Heavy Vehicle Accreditation.

1.2 Scope and Applicability

The NAS applies to operators participating in Heavy Vehicle Accreditation including General Safety Accreditation and Alternative Compliance Accreditation, Approved Auditors, and Registered Training Organisations.

The NAS governs all stages of the audit process throughout the period of accreditation, including:

- Initial accreditation (entry control)
- Ongoing compliance audits
- Periodic or triggered re-evaluations
- Gap analyses for continuous improvement.

The NAS addresses the following:

- An overview of the National Heavy Vehicle Accreditation Scheme (NHVAS)
- The purpose of audits
- Audit rules and principles
- Conducting an audit
- Auditor requirements and code of conduct
- Oversight of audits.

1.3 Intention and Objectives

The NAS is designed to support consistent, transparent, and fair auditing processes. It ensures that all accredited operators maintain scalable and effective safety management systems that protect drivers, road users, assets, and the environment.

The specific objectives of the NAS are to:

- Ensure consistent audit quality for SMS-based accreditation audits

- Promote safety maturity through suitable and scalable management systems
- Encourage a performance-based and risk-based auditing focus.

1.4 Legal status of the National Audit Standard

The NAS is the standard for conducting audits of an operator's SMS and Alternative Compliance Accreditation requirements by approved auditors for the purposes of heavy vehicle accreditation. It is approved by the responsible Ministers under section 654(1)(a) of the HVNL as the "audit standard," as defined in section 5 of the HVNL.

When deciding an application for accreditation under section 461(2)(a) of the HVNL, the NHVR must have regard to the results of any audits of the applicant's SMS carried out by an approved auditor in accordance with the Audit Standard. The results of any audits conducted in line with the Audit Standard may also be relevant to determining whether there are grounds for the amendment, suspension, or cancellation of an accreditation under section 473 of the HVNL.

1.5 How the National Audit Standard may be amended

The NAS may be periodically revised to reflect changes in heavy vehicle accreditation, incorporate new requirements, and follow consultation with users. Revisions may apply to part of this document or the entire document.

Amendments to the NAS, other than minor amendments, require the approval of the responsible Ministers. Minor amendments to the NAS may be approved by the NHVR Board under powers delegated to them by the responsible Ministers. Minor amendments are defined in section 655A of the HVNL as amendments to the audit standard in a minor respect:

- For a formal or clerical reason, such as corrections to editorial errors or changes to improve clarity (without altering intent); or
- In another way that does not:
 - increase a safety risk; or
 - increase a risk of damage to road infrastructure; or
 - cause an adverse effect on public amenity; or
 - make a person liable to a penalty.

Any amendments will be issued with a release number and date reflected in the footer of the document and on the NHVR website.

2 NHVAS Accreditation

Access to the NHVAS is through an application process. Acceptance into NHVAS formally recognises heavy vehicle operators who have robust safety systems in place. Managed by the NHVR, the scheme allows operators to demonstrate that they meet specific SMS standards in areas critical to road safety and effective risk management.

Accreditation is available in two tiers:

- General Safety Accreditation
- Alternative Compliance Accreditation.

Operators with a SMS can apply for accreditation through the NHVR. An entry audit, undertaken by an approved auditor, is required to confirm that a system is in place and is suitable. Subsequent audits are then required to demonstrate that the system is operational and functioning effectively.

Audit results will be used by the NHVR to determine whether accreditation is granted. The NHVR has specific requirements and conditions for entry into, and participation in, the NHVAS. All requirements must be met, and all audits must be conducted in accordance with the NAS, which is outlined in this document.

General Safety Accreditation must be held prior to obtaining Alternative Compliance Accreditation.

Accreditation will be granted for a period of two (2) years.

Note	As per the HVNL, s463 (3), the maximum period for which the NHVR may grant heavy vehicle accreditation is 3 years. This means accreditation cannot be extended past 3 years.
------	--

2.1 Safety Management System (SMS)

Under section 457A of the HVNL, an SMS is a set of policies, systems, and procedures focused on the safety of an operator's transport activities and heavy vehicle operations. An SMS must:

- Identify public risks associated with transport activities and heavy vehicle operations.
- Assess those risks.
- Specify controls to manage and reduce them.

The SMS must also meet the Safety Management System Standard, address all required elements, and demonstrate how the operator is meeting section 26 of the HVNL (*Primary Duty*) to ensure, so far as is reasonably practicable, the safety of their transport activities involving heavy vehicles.

An SMS provides a structured approach to managing safety risks through continuous improvement. It integrates safety processes across a business and empowers drivers, Chain of Responsibility (CoR) parties, and management to actively address risks while fostering a safer and more efficient transport operation. Whether for a large, complex business or a single-vehicle owner-operator, heavy vehicle accreditation offers a pathway to improve transport safety.

To provide a consistent foundation for managing safety in heavy vehicle accreditation, five SMS standards have been developed:

- Leadership and Commitment
- Risk Management
- People
- Assurance, Monitoring, and Improvement
- Safety Systems.

These standards ensure that operators have the necessary systems to manage risks to public safety while also enabling auditors and the NHVR to assess the maturity and effectiveness of those systems.

2.2 General Safety Accreditation

The first step to accreditation is obtaining General Safety Accreditation. By participating in this level of accreditation, operators demonstrate robust SMSs that promote safety, operational efficiency, and continuous improvement, while minimising risks to public safety. It allows operators to showcase their commitment to high safety standards.

For General Safety Accreditation, operators must establish and implement an appropriate and auditable SMS that identifies and addresses public risks associated with the operator's transport activities and specifies the controls to mitigate those risks. The SMS must meet or exceed the evidentiary requirements outlined in Schedule 1 of the SMS Standard. Compliance is assessed based on evidence that the SMS is effective in managing public risks and achieving safe operations, rather than simply on the existence of documents. The level of systems applied should be proportionate to the size, type, nature, and complexity of an operator's transport activities, enabling flexibility across a diverse industry while improving community safety.

Participation in an accreditation scheme may also be a condition for granting permits, exemptions, or concessions to an operator, or a condition of an improvement notice. However, participation does not exempt operators from complying with road transport laws, workplace health and safety requirements, or any other relevant legislation.

2.3 Alternative Compliance Accreditation

Alternative Compliance Accreditation is the second type of accreditation, alongside General Safety Accreditation, granted under section 458 of the HVNL. Operators can use Alternative Compliance Accreditation as an alternative compliance option to meet prescribed operational requirements.

Alternative Compliance Accreditation contains supplementary requirements to General Safety Accreditation and must be read together with the requirements in Schedule 1 of the SMS standard and the Alternative Compliance Accreditation requirements detailed in the *Ministerial Guidelines for Heavy Vehicle Accreditation*.

3 Audit Rules and Principles

The NAS establishes a common set of principles, processes, and audit methodologies for the conduct, documentation, and reporting of accreditation audits. It also provides guidance regarding the conduct of approved auditors registered to audit the requirements of SMS-based heavy vehicle accreditation.

Specifically, the NAS provides:

- A common set of principles related to the conduct of audits and the behaviour of auditors.
- A consistent approach to auditing across all types of NHVAS accreditation.
- A reference for audit terminology and practices to foster a shared understanding among participants in the Scheme—both auditors and operators.

Ensuring consistency across these areas will enhance the overall quality of audits and provide operators with greater certainty in managing their businesses.

3.1 Audit Objectives

Under heavy vehicle accreditation, operators undergo audits at regular intervals conducted by an approved auditor registered with the NHVR. Audits provide the evidence that an operator's SMS is effective, complies with requirements for Alternative Compliance Accreditation, and is continuously improved throughout the life of the business. The audit process ensures that only operators who demonstrate an appropriate level of compliance with NHVAS accreditation requirements and the HVNL achieve and maintain accreditation under the scheme.

The objectives of an audit are to:

- Verify that objective evidence related to an operator's SMS complies with Schedule 1 of the SMS Standard and any requirements for Alternative Compliance Accreditation.
- Assess how effectively the system has been implemented.
- Determine the effectiveness of the operator's system in meeting the SMS Standard and minimising public risk.
- Assess evidence relating to the mitigation of key risks.
- Identify opportunities for improvement in the operator's SMS.

3.2 Audit Types & Frequency

There are different types of audits conducted at different times throughout an accreditation period. Between these audits, operators are expected to monitor, review and improve on their SMS. Monitoring, review and improvement processes performed by the operator form part of the scope of the audits.

Whilst General Safety Accreditation must be approved prior to Alternative Compliance Accreditation, if an operator is applying for the accreditations at the same time, the audits can be conducted at the same time.

If the operator already holds General Safety Accreditation, the additional Alternative Compliance Accreditation requirements must be assessed together with the requirements in Schedule 1 of the SMS Standard.

Both the approved auditor and the operator share the responsibility to ensure that every audit is conducted thoroughly, accurately, and truthfully. If an audit criteria report is submitted and found to be incomplete, lacking sufficient detail, incorrect, or factually inaccurate, sanctions may be applied in accordance with Section 9 of the *Ministerial Guidelines for Heavy Vehicle Accreditation* for Operators and Section 5.4 of this document for Auditors.

3.2.1 Entry Audit

An entry audit is the first assessment of an operator's SMS and application of any Alternative Compliance Accreditation requirements. This audit verifies that all SMS and Alternative Compliance Accreditation components are **Present** and

Suitable for the purpose of being granted accreditation. The focus at this stage is on confirming that the operator has implemented all the core enablers of a functioning SMS that adheres to the SMS Standard, including Schedule 1, as well as any relevant Alternative Compliance Accreditation requirements. This audit is submitted by the operator as part of the accreditation application process.

3.2.2 Initial Compliance Audit

An initial compliance audit must be conducted between six and seven (6–7) months after the effective date of accreditation when an operator is first accredited. The purpose of this audit is to confirm that the SMS and any Alternative Compliance Accreditation requirements have been integrated into day-to-day operations and that the operator is managing outcomes effectively. Evidence will be reviewed to ensure that all components are **Operating** and **Effective**. A successful initial compliance audit, or the resolution of corrective actions from a nonconforming audit, is required to retain accreditation.

3.2.3 Compliance Audit

A second audit must be conducted within nine (9) months prior to the expiry of the accreditation period for operators who are first accredited. For applicants seeking to maintain accreditation, an audit must be conducted between nine months (9) and one (1) month prior to the expiry of the accreditation period. Evidence will be reviewed to ensure that all components are **Operating** and **Effective**. A successful second audit, or the resolution of corrective actions from a nonconforming audit, is required to retain accreditation.

3.2.4 Internal Reviews

Under heavy vehicle accreditation, the operator must implement and maintain a system for internal reviews. The internal review is an annual self-assessment conducted by the operator to evaluate their performance, compliance with regulations, and effectiveness of internal controls. It involves a structured process where the operator reviews their operations, identify areas for improvement, and ensures adherence to the SMS Standard and alternative compliance accreditation requirements, including any additional conditions placed on the operator by the NHVR. This internal review can be requested at any time by NHVR as per section 3.4 Other Performance Monitoring Activities.

3.3 Audit Location

An audit must be conducted at the operator's main place of business, where operations can be observed, staff interviewed, and records accessed. If the operator has multiple sites, the audit may include a sample of locations to review relevant practices and records. The audit location must be agreed upon by the operator and auditor to ensure confidence that the SMS is implemented across all sites, while also considering practicality in terms of cost and operations.

The operator is responsible for ensuring that the audit location is safe, well-lit, and easily accessible. Additionally, the operator must notify the auditor of any site-specific requirements (such as personal protective equipment or induction processes) in a timely manner that allows sufficient time for preparation.

3.3.1 Approvals for variation

In certain circumstances, the NHVR may consent to an audit being performed at a location other than the operator's primary physical operating address, if the number of audits conducted away from the operator's nominated premises is limited to two consecutive audits. In all cases, the location of the compliance audit must be included on the Compliance Audit Application and the NHVAS Audit Summary Report.

3.3.2 Multiple Sites

When an operator has several geographically distinct operating sites (and when a single accreditation applies to those sites) auditors must ensure that audits are conducted on a representative sample of these sites. The representative sample should be based on the number of operational sites that are included in the operator's accreditation. The number of sites selected for sampling is determined in line with the following table.

Total number of operator's sites	Sample size for compliance audits
1-2	All
3-8	3
9-15	5
16-25	8
26-50	13
51-90	20
91-150	32
151-280	50
281-500	80
501-1200	126

1. Table 1: Sample size for multi-site operators

2.

This approach helps balance thoroughness with efficiency, particularly for operators with a track record of proven safety and compliance. When determining which sites to select, auditors should consider:

- The size of the respective sites (larger sites are generally more appropriate than smaller ones).
- The nature of activities at each site (e.g., sites where freight is loaded or secured on vehicles, or where vehicle servicing or repairs are conducted, may be more suitable for auditing).

3.3.3 Adding or Closing Sites

When an operator adds or closes sites during an accreditation period, these changes must be incorporated into the audit process. In such circumstances, the number of sites to be audited should be recalculated based on the updated total, following the guidelines in the above table. Any new sites should also be included in the sample for the next audit.

The scope of the next audit should reflect the updated operational structure, with the areas selected for review recalculated using the sampling method outlined in Section 3.3.2. Previously audited locations may also be reselected to ensure continued compliance, especially if significant changes have occurred. Auditors must document any changes to the operator's operations and provide justification for the selection of locations included in the audit sample.

Note	The operator must advise the NHVR of any changes to their accreditation within 14 days. In such circumstances an auditor may recalculate the number of sites audited, based on the table above.
------	---

3.4 Other Performance Monitoring Activities

In addition to audits, operators' performance in heavy vehicle accreditation is monitored by a combination of:

- Obligatory scheduled compliance audits that operators organise themselves (see section 3.2 Audit Types and Frequencies)
- Investigations, random and triggered spot checks, and inspections carried out by NHVR staff or delegated authorised officers
- Review of all compliance history information available to the NHVR.

Performance may be monitored at any time throughout the accreditation period; however special attention is paid to operators' performance toward the end of the period if the operator has notified the NHVR that they intend to apply to maintain their accreditation.

By agreement with other states and territories, the NVHR delegates some functions to authorised officers (delegated authorised officers) and auditors employed by road authorities. Those authorised officers and some NHVR staff members who administer heavy vehicle accreditation, have powers under the HVNL to inspect vehicles, ask questions, and request documents and information. In some situations, the NVHR may request delegated authorised officers and auditors to carry out checks, inspections or investigations on their behalf.

Information received by the NHVR about the performance of vehicles, operators and drivers, comes from multiple sources. The NHVR considers and assesses the information it receives, and where appropriate, takes steps to verify the information.

At its discretion, the NVHR may respond to information by taking any of a range of actions, including requesting delegated authorised officers to take actions. The NHVR may exercise its discretion to take no further action on information that is demonstrably false or inaccurate, or that has been provided with malicious or vexatious intent.

3.4.1 Investigation of complaints

The NHVR may take action to investigate a credible complaint that relates to the conditions of accreditation. If the investigation substantiates the complaint, the NHVR may take further actions or consider sanctions against the operator as per the *Ministerial Guidelines for Heavy Vehicle Accreditation*.

3.4.2 Information from on-road intercepts

On-road intercepts provide information on compliance with the HVNL, conditions of accreditation and other relevant road transport legislation. On-road intercepts can be carried out by NHVR authorised officers, delegated authorised officers or police.

3.4.3 Information supplied by operators

Heavy vehicle accreditation requires operators to complete quarterly compliance statements and annual reviews. The NVHR may request the operator to provide copies of the quarterly statements and annual reviews, or it may review them at the operator's premises.

Notifications under the Notifiable Occurrences framework are a further source of performance monitoring information for the NHVR.

3.4.4 Spot checks

The NHVR staff may carry out a random or triggered spot check of a operator's compliance with some or all of the relevant module standards. The NHVR may also request a delegated authorised officer to perform the check. The check may be carried out on-site, or as a desk-top review. Depending on any information that may have prompted the check, and on the findings made during the process, the scope of the review may be extended further, at the discretion of the NHVR and the authorised officer.

3.5 Selection of Auditor

An operator must select an auditor from the current list of registered auditors available on the NHVR's website. The operator must notify the NHVR of their selection by submitting an audit application through the NHVR Portal. It is the auditor's responsibility to ensure they possess the technical knowledge required for the type of accreditation the operator is seeking.

3.5.1 Auditor Nomination by NHVR

The NHVR may, at its discretion, reject the nomination of an auditor and either select an alternative or request the operator selects another auditor. This will also occur when there is an actual or apparent conflict of interest or other risk to the integrity of the audit that has been brought to the NHVR's attention. In these circumstances, on receiving the audit application from an operator, the NHVR will notify the operator that their choice of auditor has been refused. The NHVR shall either select an alternative or ask the operator to select an alternative auditor and complete a new audit application.

3.6 Conflict of Interest

To ensure the integrity and impartiality of the auditing process, auditors must remain independent and free from any conflicts of interest. Auditors must not audit any SMS or management framework that they, or the consultancy or organisation they are affiliated with, have developed, implemented, or significantly influenced.

This requirement is essential to safeguarding the objectivity and credibility of audits conducted under the Scheme. Auditors are obligated to disclose any potential conflicts of interest to the NHVR before commencing an audit. If a conflict is identified, the auditor must withdraw from the engagement to maintain the impartiality of the process. For further information on managing conflicts of interest, refer to section 5.3.8.

3.6.1 Strengthening Auditor Independence

An auditor associated with, or operating across multiple entities, must not audit an SMS or framework that has been developed, implemented, or influenced by any business or consultancy service with which they are linked, either directly or indirectly. This includes scenarios where separate businesses are used to provide consultancy or administrative services for system development while also performing audits for the same operator.

This prohibition applies regardless of whether the businesses are legally distinct or presented as independent entities. Any structures or agreements designed to create the appearance of independence, while enabling conflict-of-interest scenarios, will be considered a breach of this policy.

Auditors are required to declare all business affiliations, partnerships, or interests that may present a real, potential, or perceived conflict of interest to the NHVR before commencing an audit.

Breaches of these provisions may result in disciplinary action, including potential deregistration as an auditor for heavy vehicle accreditation.

3.6.2 NHVR GO User Accounts and Access Control

Each NHVR GO user account is assigned to a specific individual with the appropriate authority to perform their designated role. When accessing NHVR GO, users must accept the terms and conditions. Any failure to comply with the terms and conditions must be reported to the NHVR immediately. Non-compliance may result in remedial action as detailed in section 5.4.2.

3.7 One Period Rule

For each accreditation period, the operator must select a different auditor who is independent and not affiliated with the previous auditor. The NHVR reviews the operator's audit application to ensure this requirement is met. To preserve the integrity of the Scheme, the NHVR retains absolute discretion to nominate an alternative auditor if necessary. Furthermore, repeatedly alternating between two auditors across multiple accreditation periods may be deemed a non-compliance which may result in remedial action as detailed in section 5.4.2.

4 Conducting an Audit

4.1 Audit Process

Audits are initiated by the operator following a successful entry application. Once the auditor and audit date have been selected, the operator must submit an audit application to the NHVR at least 28 days before the scheduled audit date. The application must specify the audit date and location, the name of the auditor, and any other relevant details.

The NHVR reviews the audit application to ensure that all outlined requirements have been met. After the NHVR approves the application, the auditor may proceed to review the operator's information and history.

Note

- The operator must provide information to the auditor when requested.
- An entry audit can only be conducted after the operator has successfully completed an entry application and an audit application has been approved by the NHVR.

-
- The audit must be conducted in person.
-

4.2 Audit Tool

As a condition of registration, the NHVR mandates the use of the NHVR-approved and published audit tool, the Audit Criteria Report, which is available on the NHVR website. The Audit Criteria Report includes:

- The Audit Matrix
- The Audit Summary Report
- The Auditor and Operator Declaration

The General Safety Accreditation Audit Criteria Report assesses the evidence required for the operator to demonstrate their SMS complies with the SMS Standard.

The Alternative Compliance Accreditation Audit Criteria Report assesses the evidence required for the General Safety Accreditation as well as the supplementary requirements for each Alternative Compliance Accreditation.

Auditors must complete all fields in the Audit Criteria Report and submit the audit and supporting information in the format specified by the NHVR. Once completed, auditors are required to retain a copy of the Audit Criteria Report for three years from the audit date.

Detailed instructions on completing the Audit Criteria Report are provided in section 4.10.

4.3 Operator Use of NHVR Audit Tool

The approved NHVR audit tool is available for operators to use when conducting internal reviews. Utilising this tool allows operators to enhance their understanding of audit requirements against the SMS Standard and any Alternative Compliance Accreditation requirements.

4.4 Preparing for the Audit

When selected by an operator, it is important for the auditor to understand the likely audit process prior to conducting the site visit. The auditor must assess the operator's circumstances and operational locations, draft an audit plan, schedule an opening meeting, and coordinate with the operator to confirm details such as:

- The time the audit will begin.
- The name of the person who will meet the auditor on arrival and take responsibility for facilitating the audit process. *(This is typically the individual who will sign the "Operator Declaration and Consent" on the audit report at the conclusion of the audit.)*
- Security and workplace health and safety (WHS) requirements, such as site inductions and Personal Protective Equipment (e.g., safety vests, safety boots).
- A request for someone to escort the auditor around the site.
- Documents the auditor will need to access.
- Managers and staff the auditor will need to interview.
- Administrative arrangements (e.g., office space, a photocopier, and other facilities) to support the visit.

The auditor should confirm these arrangements with the operator at least seven days before the agreed audit date. This preparation provides structure to the audit and ensures both the operator and auditor can make the necessary arrangements for a smooth and effective process.

Additionally, the auditor must gain an understanding of the size, complexity, and basic operations of the operator to identify:

- The intended scope of the audit.
- Operational processes, human and technical resources, functions, relationships, and applicable legal obligations.
- Outsourced processes that may impact compliance with accreditation requirements.
- Whether consultancy services related to the management system have been used, and if so, by whom.

When creating, defining, or updating an audit scope, the following factors may also need to be considered:

- Updates to accreditation requirements.
- Conditions imposed by the NHVR in relation to the operator's accreditation.
- Changes to applicable legal obligations.
- Modifications to accreditation criteria.
- Organisational performance indicators (e.g., defect rates, KPIs).
- Concerns raised by relevant interested parties.

4.5 Determining Audit Duration

When establishing the appropriate audit duration, the approved auditor should consider:

- Requirements of the applicable management system standard.
- Complexity of the operator's organisation and its management system.
- Relevant technological and regulatory environment.
- Any outsourced activities included within the scope of the management system.
- Outcomes of previous audits.
- Number, size, and geographic distribution of sites, including multi-site arrangements.
- Risk level associated with the operator's products, processes, or activities.

The duration of the audit will depend on the size of the operator, the maturity of its management systems, and the complexity of its activities and transport-related risks. Audit duration is determined through discussion between the operator and the auditor.

4.6 Opening Meeting

The opening meeting should include all relevant staff involved in the audit, including senior management and administrative personnel. During this meeting, the scope, timeframe, information needs, and other matters relevant to the audit should be confirmed.

The opening meeting provides the auditor with an opportunity to outline the purpose, scope, and program for the audit, explain the audit process, and confirm the availability of key interviewees. The level of detail should reflect the operator's familiarity with the audit process and include:

- Introductions of all participants and their roles.
- Review of the audit plan, including type, scope, objectives, criteria, and any changes.
- Agreement on logistics, such as the timing of the closing meeting and any interim meetings.
- Confirmation of communication channels between the audit team and the operator.
- Availability of necessary resources and facilities, such as a photocopier.
- Confidentiality arrangements.
- Health, safety, emergency, and security procedures relevant to the audit.
- Explanation of how audit findings will be reported, including any grading system.
- Conditions under which the audit may be terminated early.
- Review of findings from previous audits or reviews, if applicable.
- Overview of sampling methods and procedures to be used.
- Assurance that the operator will be kept informed of audit progress and any concerns.
- Opportunity for the operator to ask questions.

Reviewing findings from previous audits during the opening meeting ensures continuity and focus. This process confirms corrective actions, addresses unresolved issues, and identifies areas requiring further scrutiny. Incorporating this review fosters transparency, accountability, and evidence-based decision-making, while linking past and current audits to

strengthen compliance culture. Setting a constructive tone at the beginning promotes a collaborative and productive audit process.

4.7 Collecting and Verifying Evidence

Auditors should, as far as practicable, evaluate whether the information provided offers sufficient objective evidence to demonstrate that the requirements of the SMS Standard and heavy vehicle accreditation are being met. Key considerations for the information include whether it is:

- **Complete** – all expected content is included.
- **Correct** – the content aligns with reliable sources, such as standards or regulations.
- **Consistent** – the information is internally consistent and aligns with related documents.
- **Current** – the content is up to date.

If information is presented in an unexpected manner (e.g., through different individuals or alternative media), auditors should assess the integrity of the evidence to ensure its reliability.

Methods for obtaining information and evidence may include, but are not limited to:

- Interviews.
- Observations of processes and activities.
- Review of documentation and records.

Only information that can be subject to some degree of verification should be accepted as audit evidence. Where the degree of verification is low, the auditor should use their professional judgement to determine the extent of reliance that can be placed on it. Audit evidence that leads to audit findings must be recorded.

If, during the collection of objective evidence, the auditor becomes aware of any new or changed circumstances, risks, or opportunities, these should be addressed by the auditor as appropriate.

Examples of the evidence required to demonstrate compliance can be found in:

- SMS Standard: Schedule 1.
- Ministerial Guidelines for Heavy Vehicle Accreditation: Schedule 1.

4.7.1 PSOE Method

The Audit Criteria Report evaluates both conformance and the overall performance of the system against the SMS Standard and any Alternative Compliance Accreditation requirements. Auditors are required to assess the evidence based on the following criteria: **present, suitable, operating, and effective (PSOE)**.

- **P – Present:** Evidence assessed as **present** confirms that all elements of the SMS Standard are established and documented.
- **S – Suitable:** Evidence assessed as **suitable** ensures that the elements align with the nature, size, and complexity of the organisation.
- **O – Operating:** Evidence assessed as **operating** confirms that processes are actively implemented and functioning as intended.
- **E – Effective:** Evidence assessed as **effective** demonstrates that the system consistently achieves the desired outcomes specified by the SMS Standard.

For Entry Audits, verification is limited to the **Present (P)** and **Suitable (S)** criteria. For all subsequent audits, all four PSOE elements must be verified. The auditor will collect evidence focusing on the SMS requirements and whether the system's elements are **Present** and **Suitable**, confirming that the operator has initiated the core components of a functioning SMS.

Once accredited, the operator applies its SMS in daily operations. Time is allowed for these processes to mature before an auditor evaluates whether the elements are **Operating** and, ultimately, **Effective**.

4.7.2 Sampling documentation

It is impractical for auditors to review the records of every vehicle or driver within an operator’s business. Therefore, the audit process involves reviewing a sample of records, determined by the size and scope of the operation. The purpose of sampling is to provide the auditor with a reasonable basis to draw conclusions about the integrity of the system covered by the operator’s accreditation.

Total number of vehicles / drivers	Sample size for compliance audits
1-10	5
10-15	7
16-25	8
26-50	13
51-90	20
91-150	32
151-280	50
281-500	80
501-1200	125

3. Table 2: Sample size for accredited vehicle/drivers

If the sampled records reveal deficiencies within the operator’s SMS, auditors should expand the sample size to gain a more detailed understanding of the nature and extent of the systemic issues.

4.7.3 Interviewing Staff

Auditors should not rely solely on documentation to confirm whether a management system is operational. The accuracy of documentation must be tested during audits. Interviews with relevant staff and observation of operational activities on site (whenever possible) should also inform the audit process.

For example, if a procedure designates a specific responsibility to an individual, the auditor may interview the individual to determine whether they understand the process and their role within it. Similarly, if drivers are required to perform daily vehicle checks, a sample of drivers should be interviewed to confirm if and how they undertake these checks.

Auditors should adhere to the following principles when conducting interviews during a NAS audit:

- Targeted interviews should focus on staff performing the tasks under review. For instance, for the Maintenance Management module, staff involved in checking and servicing vehicles or rectifying faults should be interviewed.
- Explain the purpose of the interview to the staff member, making it clear that the discussion pertains to the Scheme, not the individual’s work performance.
- Avoid leading questions. Instead, ask open-ended questions, such as asking staff to describe what they do and how they do it.
- Integrate observations by interviewing staff during their work activities whenever possible, allowing the auditor to observe how tasks are being performed in real-time.

The extent of a finding should be tested across other parts of the organisation during subsequent interviews. This ensures the auditor can determine whether the finding is isolated to a specific area or individual or if it is systemic across the organisation and its management system. These insights will inform what corrective action is necessary and establish the timeline for resolving the issue.

4.8 Generating Audit Findings

Audit findings must be based on information and evidence gathered from the operator and/or individuals or organisations involved in the operator's management system. Findings may be positive or negative.

The auditor is responsible for taking all reasonable steps to ensure that sufficient evidence is available to support a finding for each SMS Standard and any Alternative Compliance Accreditation requirement. If evidence is insufficient, the auditor should make further inquiries. However, if no additional evidence is available, the auditor must record a nonconformance for the relevant standard.

4.9 Conducting the Closing Meeting

When the audit is complete, the auditor should conduct a closing meeting with the operator's nominated representative and any other relevant attendees. This representative should ideally be the same person involved in the opening meeting and must have the authority to sign the operator declaration, as outlined in section 4.13.

The purpose of the closing meeting is to:

- Summarise the audit findings and outline any nonconformances identified.
- Provide the operator with an opportunity to query the auditor's findings, particularly any nonconformances, and clarify any misunderstandings.
- Discuss the corrective actions required to address nonconformances.
- Explain the next steps in the process, including deadlines for completing the corrective action requests (CARs) and submitting evidence to the auditor to close out the actions.

4.10 Completing the Report

Completed reports and supporting evidence must be retained by both the auditor and the operator for three (3) years from the audit date. Operators should retain a copy for potential review during subsequent heavy vehicle accreditation audits. Furthermore, all information recorded in the Audit Criteria Report must be submitted into the NHVR-approved system retrospectively, within 28 days, to ensure data integrity.

4.10.1 Standardisation and Level of Detail to be Recorded

Auditors must record clear and consistent evidence for each maturity level. Audit reports must include:

- The maturity level (PSOE) assigned to each indicator.
- A detailed explanation of how the organisation achieved the maturity level.
- Narrative evidence, including reviewed documents, records, and interviews with management and operational staff. Evidence should specify dates and version numbers of sample documentation.
- Comments and observations regarding workplace and on-road practices.
- A clear statement indicating whether accreditation requirements have been met.
- A summary of findings that highlights strengths, weaknesses, and opportunities for improvement (if identified), along with a conclusion providing an overview of the audit result.
- Corrective actions (if required), including specific details and due dates.
- Compliance codes indicating the auditor's assessment of whether the operator's management system complies with the audit criteria (refer to Table 3).
- The overall result of the audit.

4.10.2 Use of Artificial Intelligence (AI) by Auditors

Auditors may use Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools as part of the audit reporting process; however, strict conditions apply to ensure the quality, integrity, and confidentiality of both the audit and operator information. The following guidelines govern the use of AI in the preparation of audit reports under the Scheme:

Permitted Use of AI

AI tools may be used by auditors to enhance efficiency, consistency, and clarity in audit-related work, provided they are applied responsibly and transparently. Their use must always uphold the integrity, independence, and confidentiality of the audit process.

Appropriate Use

AI tools may be used to assist with general audit preparation, document development, or other administrative tasks that support the audit process.

AI may also be used to refine, summarise, or organise information that has been developed by the auditor.

The use of AI should always remain under the direct control and supervision of the auditor, ensuring that outputs are verified for accuracy and appropriateness before inclusion in any formal audit documentation.

Prohibited Use

AI tools must not be used to make audit decisions, form audit conclusions, or determine compliance outcomes.

AI must not be relied upon to analyse evidence or independently interpret findings in a way that replaces professional judgement.

Sensitive or confidential operator, audit, or system information must never be entered into AI tools that process or store data externally in an unmanaged or unsecured environment.

Responsible Practice

Auditors must remain accountable for all outputs generated or refined using AI tools.

Where AI has been used, its purpose and extent of use should be appropriately documented within the audit record.

Auditors should ensure that any use of AI aligns with NHVR's information security, privacy, and ethical standards.

Confidentiality and Data Protection

Auditors are responsible for maintaining the confidentiality and security of any information entered into AI platforms. Sensitive operator, audit, or system data must not be shared with AI tools, particularly those that process or store data externally in an unmanaged environment.

Disclosure Requirement

For accountability, auditors must disclose if and how AI tools were used in preparing the audit report. This disclosure must be included in the audit documentation for each completed audit.

Acknowledgement of Risks

Auditors must understand and mitigate potential risks associated with AI use, including:

- Data Leakage: The inadvertent exposure of sensitive information when using AI tools.
- Inaccuracy: The potential for AI tools to introduce errors, misinterpretations, or inaccuracies not reflective of the auditor's findings.
- Bias: The potential for AI-generated content to incorporate biases inappropriate for audit reporting.

By adhering to these guidelines, auditors ensure that AI is used responsibly and transparently, without compromising the quality, accuracy, or independence of the audit process. Any misuse of AI, or failure to disclose its use, may result in investigations or sanctions under the Scheme.

4.11 Assurance Codes

By accurately identifying and classifying nonconformances, auditors can address issues effectively, prioritise corrective actions, and promote continuous improvement.

Assurance Codes		
C	Conformance	<p>The auditee has demonstrated full conformance with the applicable requirement. Sufficient and appropriate evidence was reviewed during the audit to verify that the requirement is being consistently met in practice. No further action is necessary.</p> <p>Indicates full compliance.</p>
OFI	Opportunity For Improvement	<p>The auditee complies with the minimum requirement; however, there are opportunities to enhance processes, improve efficiency, or increase safety or quality outcomes. These are not mandatory but are recommended to strengthen the system and support continuous improvement.</p> <p>Indicates a concern was observed and an opportunity to improve exists.</p>
MIN NC	Minor Nonconformance	<p>A minor nonconformance is a minor issue that does not significantly impact the overall effectiveness of the management system but still requires corrective action. While minor nonconformances do not pose an immediate threat to compliance, they must be addressed to prevent them from escalating into major issues. Minor nonconformances can escalate to a major nonconformance if not corrected. A series of minor nonconformances within the same area of a system or process might also escalate to a major nonconformance, if the auditor believes there is a root cause which has completely broken down.</p> <p>Indicates rectification is necessary to meet the requirement.</p>
MAJ NC	Major Nonconformance	<p>A major nonconformance is a significant failure in the management system that impacts the organisation's ability to meet the standards or requirements. Evidence indicates a significant failure in implementation, documentation, or execution of required systems or processes. A major nonconformance often results in immediate action where corrective action is required to address the gap.</p> <p>Indicates immediate rectification is necessary to meet the requirement.</p>
TR	Transitional	<p>A transitional nonconformance may be raised when there is a period for a business to adjust or make changes to their systems and processes due to a change in rules, requirements, or legislation.</p> <p>Indicates a change is necessary however, there is a time allowance to action.</p>
NE	Not Evaluated	<p>The specific requirement was not evaluated during this audit cycle. This may occur if the audit scope did not include the criterion, if it is assessed on a rotating basis, or if external factors (e.g. lack of recent activity) made assessment impractical at the time.</p> <p>Indicates it is irrelevant to assess the criterion at this stage.</p>
NA	Not Applicable	<p>The requirement does not apply to the auditee's business operations, scope, or activities.</p> <p>Indicates the criterion was not applicable.</p>

4. Table 3: Assurance Codes

4.11.1 Major Nonconformance

Examples of major nonconformances may include:

- Complete absence of a required process or system (e.g., an organisation lacking a documented procedure mandated by rules, standards, or legislation).

- Systemic failure (e.g., recurring issues across multiple departments, indicating a breakdown in the management system or required processes).
- Non-compliance with legal or regulatory requirements (e.g., failure to adhere to the HVNL or associated Regulations).
- Significant risk to quality or safety (e.g., a process failure leading to uncontrolled impacts on quality or safety).
- Failure to address a previous nonconformance (e.g., unresolved issues from past audits, demonstrating ineffective corrective actions).

A major nonconformance often requires immediate action and urgent corrective measures. If not addressed, it may result in the suspension or withdrawal of accreditation.

4.11.2 Minor Nonconformance

Examples of minor nonconformances may include:

- Inconsistencies in documentation (e.g., minor errors in procedure documents that do not affect compliance but require correction).
- Isolated incidents of nonconformance (e.g., a single instance of a process not being followed correctly, rather than a systemic issue).
- Lack of employee awareness in specific areas (e.g., an employee is unaware of a particular procedure, but overall processes are in place).
- Slight deviations from a procedure that do not affect quality or compliance (e.g., omitting a required review in an internal audit, while the overall audit process remains effective).
- Partial implementation of a requirement (e.g., a required activity was conducted, but not within the designated timeframe or not in accordance with the company's process).

While minor nonconformances do not pose an immediate threat to compliance, they must still be addressed to prevent them from escalating into major issues in the future.

4.11.3 Opportunity For Improvement (OFI)

An Opportunity for Improvement (OFI) is recorded when audit findings confirm that processes are functioning as intended (conforming) but could be made more efficient, robust, or where a concern has been identified. An OFI may also be raised where a planned change to requirements introduces the potential for future non-conformance. OFIs support the continual improvement of systems and processes reviewed during the audit.

An OFI describes the concern without prescribing a solution, allowing the operator the flexibility to address the issue in a manner most suitable for their operations. Importantly, OFIs are not mandatory, and operators are not required to take action. Examples of OFIs include:

- Management responsible for management review struggled to locate the associated records.
- The company relies heavily on verbal instructions to communicate its methods.

Principles for Recording OFIs Compliant with ISO 17021-1

The following principles must be observed when documenting an OFI:

- Avoid imperative language: OFIs must not instruct or direct the auditee to take specific actions. Even terms like “consider” can imply direction and should be avoided.
- Do not begin with a verb: OFI statements should not start with action-oriented verbs.
- Refrain from proposing solutions: Avoid suggesting or implying a specific way to resolve the concern.
- Avoid the word “should”: This can suggest a recommended action and may undermine objectivity.
- Be objective: Focus solely on describing the observation or concern. Avoid labelling it as a “problem,” as it may not constitute non-conformance.

Note

- The auditor may identify nonconformances or opportunities for improvement but shall not
-

recommend specific solutions.

4.12 Corrective Action Requests (CARs)

If an audit identifies areas that don't meet the SMS Standard or ACA requirements, these must be documented and shared with relevant stakeholders. Before issuing a formal CAR, stakeholders should be given a chance to respond or provide additional evidence.

Once a nonconformance is confirmed:

- The operator's representative must address the issue and outline actions to prevent recurrence.
- **Major CARs** are issued for serious or imminent risks requiring immediate action. If risks are reduced but not fully resolved, the CAR may be downgraded.
- **Minor CARs** apply when systems exist but are not consistently followed. These do not pose immediate safety risks but still breach documented procedures.

CARs should focus on the root causes of nonconformance. If the issue is resolved during the audit and evidence is provided, the CAR may be closed immediately. For more complex issues, a completion date must be agreed and recorded.

4.12.1 Closing out a Corrective Action

Operators must respond to all CARs within the agreed timeframe. If a nonconformance is resolved during the audit or by the due date, the CAR can be closed immediately. For unresolved issues, the auditor will set a deadline and require evidence of completion.

If an action requires additional time to complete, the operator must submit a documented plan. This plan will form part of future audits and may result in the reinstatement of the CAR if the operator fails to follow the plan.

The audit report may only be submitted to the NHVR once all CARs have been agreed to, signed by both parties, and all declarations have been completed.

4.12.2 Failure to respond to a Corrective Action

If the operator fails to provide notification or evidence by the required date, the auditor must notify the NHVR so that follow-up action can be initiated.

4.13 Issuing the Audit Report

Upon completing the audit report, the auditor must submit it through the NHVR Portal. The operator will receive a notification, allowing them to review and accept the audit conclusion. Once the conclusion is accepted, the timeline for completing any required corrective actions will commence.

The Auditor's Declaration

The auditor must complete and sign a declaration that all findings and information recorded during the audit are true, accurate, and complete to the best of their knowledge at the time of the audit. The declaration must include:

- A statement indicating whether the auditor believes the management system conforms or does not conform to the relevant standards.
- An acknowledgment that the information recorded may be shared with authorised external parties (e.g., Exemplar Global), as required.
- A confirmation that the auditor has adhered to the conflict of interest and code of conduct requirements outlined in the Audit Standard.

The Operator's Declaration

The operator must acknowledge the accuracy of the information contained in the Audit Report. This declaration must also confirm there is no conflict of interest between the auditor and the operator and provides the NHVR with the operator's consent to share accreditation information with other agencies, if required.

The NHVR will periodically review Audit Reports to validate findings, ensure audit consistency, and identify potential improvements to the audit system.

5 Auditors

Confidence in the audit process and its ability to achieve desired objectives relies on the competence of the individuals appointed to perform audits.

An 'approved auditor' is defined in section 5 of the HVNL as "an auditor of a class specified in the audit standard as approved to carry out the audit." This audit standard specifies that the class of auditors approved to perform audits in accordance with this standard includes those registered by the NHVR on the register of approved auditors. The register is maintained and published by the NHVR at www.nhvr.gov.au.

5.1 Auditor Registration

Only an individual may apply to the NHVR to become an approved auditor. Applicants must not have previously had their registration as an approved auditor cancelled by the NHVR. The NHVR may refuse to register an applicant based on the individual's criminal record, relevant misconduct (e.g., fraud), or failure to meet the criteria outlined in this audit standard for registration.

To be registered as an approved auditor, applicants must meet the following criteria:

- Hold appropriate qualifications and experience:
 - Completion of a Lead Auditor course aligned with ISO 19011:2018 (e.g., ISO 9001, ISO 45001, or integrated management systems).
 - Qualifications in auditing principles, risk management, and relevant standards.
 - Relevant transport industry experience and capability, OR substantial auditing experience in other industries as determined by the NHVR.
- Maintain professional indemnity and public liability insurance and submit evidence annually to the NHVR:
 - Approved auditors are responsible for securing and maintaining appropriate professional indemnity and public liability insurance coverage that aligns with the risks involved in their auditing activities.
 - Insurance policies must provide adequate coverage for the functions and duties performed under heavy vehicle accreditation audits.
 - Auditors must ensure they are appropriately insured throughout their approval period and provide proof of coverage annually.
- Hold heavy vehicle auditing certification from a certification body approved by the NHVR.
- Possess excellent communication and collaboration skills.
- Participate in training activities as required by the NHVR to ensure ongoing competence and knowledge of current auditing standards and requirements.
- Be a fit and proper person as determined by the NHVR, ensuring compliance with ethical and professional standards.

5.2 Auditor Compliance Rules

- Auditors must be registered with the NHVR and maintained on the relevant published auditor register
- Auditors must carry an identification card which is issued by the NHVR for formal identification purposes while auditing.

- Auditors must uphold the Auditor Code of Conduct outlined in this NAS.
- Auditors who are systems providers or consultants (individual or company) cannot conduct any audit, for the first period of accreditation, on a participant’s system that they have designed and/or implemented. After these three (3) audits have been conducted, they may then carry out further audits of that participant.
- An auditor must not carry out more than one (1) consecutive audit on the same accreditation of an applicant or participant. For each accreditation period, a different auditor would be required. This provision may, after written application by an operator, be waived by the NHVR in extenuating circumstances, such as where audits take place in remote areas.
- The NHVR may direct the applicant to use a particular auditor, or one of several auditors nominated by the NHVR, for any given audit.
- There are several factors that determine the allocation of an auditor/s to a participant. These include:
 - audit location, including remote area location
 - type and cost of audit
 - audit length
 - auditor availability.
- Consultants or auditors cannot act on behalf of an applicant or participant or be a nominated contact for a participant’s accreditation, unless they are a full-time employee of the applicant or participant.
- An auditor/consultant who is employed full time by an applicant or participant may be the nominated contact for that operator and no other.

Note	Full time employment is defined as an employee with ongoing employment who works in the capacity of the assigned position, on average around 38 hours each week. (Fair Work Ombudsman)
------	--

5.3 Auditor Code of Conduct

NHVR-approved auditors operate under the NHVR Auditor Code of Conduct outlined in this NAS, which sets out principles and standards of behaviour required when undertaking audits under the Scheme.

The Code outlines 11 key principles designed to make audits effective, reliable, and aligned with management policies and processes.

Adherence to these principles ensures audit conclusions are consistent, accurate, and sufficient, allowing multiple auditors to independently reach similar conclusions under similar circumstances.

The Code of Conduct is publicly available to provide transparency and to ensure Scheme participants and the public know the standards of behaviour expected when dealing with NHVR-registered auditors.

Approved auditors must comply with the following 11 principles:

1. Integrity: Be honest, ethical, and impartial.
2. Professionalism: Act professionally, treating all individuals with courtesy and respect.
3. Identification: Always carry and display auditor identification.
4. Fair Presentation: Report findings truthfully and thoroughly.
5. Due Care and Accountability: Exercise diligence and professional judgement.
6. Confidentiality: Protect sensitive information from unauthorised disclosure.
7. Independence: Remain unbiased and impartial in decision-making.
8. Conflict of Interest: Avoid and disclose any conflicts of interest.
9. Evidence-Based: Base audit conclusions on verifiable evidence.
10. Risk-Based: Prioritise areas with higher risks or impacts during audits.
11. Duty to Disclose: Report any suspected breaches or irregularities.

The NHVR also ensures oversight of audits by exercising its rights as outlined in the NAS, further supporting the integrity and credibility of the Scheme.

5.3.1 Integrity

Auditors must:

- Perform their work ethically, with honesty and responsibility.
- Only undertake audit activities if competent and trained.
- Be sensitive to any influences that may be exerted on their judgement while carrying out an audit.
- Perform their work in an impartial manner, i.e. remain fair and unbiased in all their dealings.
- Provide accurate, timely and detailed information.
- Not accept instructions to adopt or reject a particular opinion from any person in relation to a matter relevant to the performance of an auditor function.
- The auditor must not benefit inappropriately from the audit work for the operator.
- Behave in a way that upholds the values, integrity and reputation of the NHVR.

5.3.2 Professionalism

Approved auditors are required to always act professionally and ethically when performing audit activities.

This obligation applies to both their communication (verbal and written) with operators and their conduct while on site.

Auditors must:

- Treat heavy vehicle operators, members of the public, jurisdictional officers, and NHVR employees with courtesy and respect.
- Exercise a duty of care and uphold the highest standards of professional performance.
- Maintain accurate and complete records of their audit activities.
- Stay informed of any changes to the NHVAS and the HVNL to ensure compliance.

These requirements are fundamental to the integrity and reliability of audit activities under the Scheme.

5.3.3 Identification Cards

Approved auditors must carry their NHVR auditor identification card at all times when entering an operator's work site and must produce it upon request.

If an auditor's NHVAS registration is suspended or cancelled, they must immediately return their NHVAS auditor identification card to the NHVR.

Falsely representing oneself as an NHVR-approved auditor is an offence under the HVNL and may incur penalties of up to \$20,000.

5.3.4 Fair Presentation

Auditors managing the audit program are obligated to report truthfully and accurately in all aspects of the audit process.

Audit findings, conclusions, and reports must truthfully and accurately reflect all audit activities. Any significant obstacles encountered during the audit, as well as unresolved diverging opinions between the audit team and the auditee, must be recorded in the audit report.

Communication during the audit process must be objective, truthful, accurate, timely, clear, and complete.

Auditors must provide information and advice without concealing or omitting details that could mislead, deceive, or alter conclusions.

Auditors must not rely on information they know, or should reasonably believe, to be incorrect or misleading, including when supporting an application for registration as an NHVAS-approved auditor.

Auditors must take all necessary steps to correct any inaccurate, false, or misleading information or advice they have provided when they know or reasonably suspect that another person or entity might rely on it.

These obligations ensure the integrity, transparency, and reliability of the audit process under the Scheme.

5.3.5 Due Care and Accountability

Auditors are required to exercise diligence and sound judgement during audits, demonstrating due care in line with the significance of their tasks and the trust placed in them by operators and other interested parties.

Auditors must use reasoned judgement in all audit scenarios and are expected to commit to continual improvement, learning, and adaptation.

They must maintain and develop the knowledge, skills, and expertise necessary for their registered audit functions and comply with all continuing professional development requirements under their registration.

NHVAS-approved auditors are accountable to both the NHVR and heavy vehicle operators for their actions and performance.

As a condition of registration, auditors agree to be subject to reviews or investigations by the NHVR where required.

They are required to provide the NHVR with full access to NHVAS audit documentation or any documentation related to their role as an NHVAS-approved auditor upon request.

Auditors must comply with any lawful and reasonable direction issued by the NHVR.

These requirements reinforce the professionalism and accountability necessary for maintaining the integrity and trustworthiness of the audit process.

5.3.6 Confidentiality

Auditors should exercise discretion in the use and protection of information acquired in the course of their duties. This includes the use of artificial intelligence. Audit information should not be used inappropriately for personal gain by the auditor or the operator, or in a manner detrimental to the legitimate interests of the auditee.

This principle does not, however, extend to any NHVR requests for information related to the operator's performance or activities. However, auditors must maintain appropriate confidentiality and professionalism about dealings that the auditor has with any staff of the NHVR.

5.3.7 Independence

Auditors must maintain objectivity, impartiality, and independence throughout the audit process to ensure findings and conclusions are based solely on audit evidence. Audits must be conducted by an NHVR-approved auditor who is independent of, and external to, the heavy vehicle operator.

An auditor must not have (or have had) a personal or business relationship with the operator. Auditors must not audit any SMS or management framework that they, or the consultancy or organisation they are affiliated with, have developed, implemented, or significantly influenced. See section 3.6

5.3.8 Conflict of Interest

A conflict of interest occurs when an auditor's private, business, or financial interests interfere with their ability to conduct a fair and transparent audit. A conflict of interest may be actual or apparent and may benefit the auditor, the operator, or be of mutual benefit to the auditor and heavy vehicle operator. Conflicts of interest may be:

Actual conflict of interest is when the NHVR would conclude that an auditor's ability to conduct an audit with honesty and integrity has been compromised by their private or business interests in the client or the client's business.

Apparent conflict of interest means the NHVR could reasonably consider the personal or business interests of an auditor may interfere, unduly benefit or disadvantage their ability to conduct a fair and transparent audit of a client's business.

Auditors must also not derive inappropriate personal or financial benefits from their work. Payments should only cover fees for audits.

If a real or perceived conflict of interest exists, the auditor must not act as an auditor for that operator. Examples of conflicts of interest include:

- Acting as a consultant to the operator under accreditation (e.g., designing or implementing the operator's management system).
- Having a financial relationship with the operator (outside of fees for the audit).
- Having a direct family, personal, or business relationship with the operator.
- Being employed by or having a vested interest in the operator's business.
- Preferential treatment of the operator due to the auditor's prior association.
- Involving a third party in the audit that creates a perceived conflict of interest.

Management of Conflicts of Interest

- **Notification:** Auditors must immediately report any actual or apparent conflict of interest to the NHVR before accepting or commencing an audit.
- **During an Audit:** If a conflict of interest is identified after an audit has commenced, the auditor must suspend the audit immediately and notify the NHVR.
- **NHVR Action:** The NHVR will investigate and decide whether:
 - The auditor may continue the audit, OR
 - The operator must engage another auditor from an alternative list provided by the NHVR. The auditor may be required to submit written evidence during the investigation.

Failure to declare a conflict of interest or financial benefit may result in suspension or cancellation of the auditor's registration. When in doubt, auditors should seek advice from the NHVR before proceeding.

Examples That Do Not Constitute a Conflict of Interest

- Providing general advice on managing risks within accreditation management systems, if the advice is limited to publicly available information.
- Discussing identified non-conformances during an audit.

5.3.9 Evidence-Based Approach

Auditors must base conclusions on verifiable evidence that results in reliable and reproducible audit conclusions.

Audit evidence should in general be based on samples of the information available, since an audit is conducted during a finite period and with finite resources. An appropriate use of sampling shall be applied, since this is related to the confidence that can be placed on the audit conclusions.

5.3.10 Risk-based approach

Audits are to be conducted in a manner that identifies and examines risks and opportunities relevant to the operator and the Scheme.

A risk-based approach should guide the planning, execution, and reporting of audits, ensuring focus is placed on matters of significant importance to the operator and the achievement of Scheme or audit program objectives

5.3.11 Duty to Disclose

Auditors have an obligation to immediately report any suspected breach of the Code of Conduct to the NHVR. Auditors must also report any operator practice that, in their opinion, may pose an imminent risk to safety. These reports will remain confidential pending NHVR-led investigations.

5.4 NHVR Rights and Auditor Performance Monitoring

The competence and integrity of auditors are essential to the success of the Scheme, which ensures the safety and reliability of heavy vehicles and drivers for the benefit of the public. To maintain these standards, the NHVR reserves the

right to monitor and evaluate the performance of approved auditors to ensure they comply with the NAS. Oversight of audits is achieved by the NHVR exercising its rights described in this section and by adhering to the NHVR Third Party Policy.

It is an offence under section 478 of the HVNL for an auditor to falsely represent that they are an approved auditor or an auditor of a particular approved class as specified in this audit standard.

5.4.1 Triggers for Performance Review or Investigation

The NHVR may, at its discretion, initiate a performance review or investigation of an auditor in response to:

1. Audit Reports: Identification of poor performance or issues, such as:
 - Repeatedly using identical findings/wording across different operators' audit reports.
 - Content that raises concerns about accuracy or the auditor's impartiality.
2. Heavy Vehicle Incidents: A formal investigation into a heavy vehicle incident or a serious safety breach by an accredited operator.
3. Complaints: Complaints received from operators, auditors, state agencies, members of the public, or Exemplar Global (EG). The NHVR will use its discretion to investigate complaints, considering credibility, especially for anonymous submissions.
 - Auditors must respond promptly, within the specified timeframe, to NHVR requests for clarification or additional information about audits.
4. Suspicion of Misconduct: Suspected fraudulent, dishonest, or negligent conduct by an auditor. This may include feedback sought from operators regarding the auditor's performance, or investigations into breaches of related certifications (e.g., Approved Inspection Station [AIS] or accreditation / certification).

5.4.2 Outcomes of Reviews or Investigations

Depending on the findings, the NHVR may take the following actions:

- Provide feedback, advice, or counselling to the auditor.
- Recommend the auditor undertake remedial training.
- Issue a formal notice of proposed action or written warning.
- Temporarily withhold approval and remove the auditor's name from the relevant register.
- Permanently withdraw approval and remove the auditor's name from the relevant register.
- Cancel all or part of the relevant audit(s) and require a new audit to be conducted, considering the potential impact on the operator.

5.4.3 Evaluation Methods

The NHVR may use various methods to evaluate an auditor's performance, including:

- Review of Records: Verification of past audit accuracy, findings, and conclusions.
- Feedback: Surveys, questionnaires, complaints, testimonials, or performance evaluations to gather input on the auditor's conduct.
- Interviews: Personal discussions with NHVR representatives to verify professional conduct, communication skills, and technical knowledge.
- Observation: Witnessed audits or observation of on-the-job performance to assess skills and desired behaviours.
- Post-Audit Review: Analysis of audit reports, feedback from the operator, and interviews with audit team members to assess strengths and areas for improvement.

5.4.4 Remedial Actions

If an auditor fails to conduct audits in accordance with this Audit Standard or otherwise fails to comply with this Audit Standard (including the Auditor Code of Conduct in section 5.3), the NHVR may take remedial action.

Several options are available to the NHVR to manage performance and/or take remedial action against auditors. These include the following actions:

- Providing the auditor with feedback, advice and/or counselling.
- Recommending that the auditor undertakes remedial training.
- Providing formal written warning advice.
- Temporarily suspending the person's registration on the NHVR's register of approved auditors.
- Permanently cancelling the person's registration on the NHVR's register of approved auditors.
- Cancelling all or part of the audit and requiring a new audit (while maintaining regard to the potential impact on the operator).

Remedial actions imposed on an auditor under a Scheme do not preclude penalties under the HVNL or other legislation.

5.4.5 Review and Appeals

The following decisions are a reviewable decision for the purposes of section 5 (but not a reviewable decision for the purposes of section 640 of the HVNL):

- A decision by the NHVR to refuse an auditor's application for registration as an approved auditor.
- A decision by the NHVR to temporarily suspend an auditor's registration on the NHVR's register of approved auditors.
- A decision by the NHVR to permanently cancel the person's registration on the NHVR's register of approved auditors.

The NHVR must inform the auditor concerned in writing of the reviewable decision and the reasons for the reviewable decision, as soon as practicable after the date of the decision.

The auditor who made the application for registration or whose registration has been suspended or cancelled may lodge a request for an internal review of the decision within 28 days of the date of the decision. The request must be made in writing by [insert appropriate channel e.g. post or email].

An internal review of a reviewable decision must not be decided by the person who made the reviewable decision or a person who holds a less senior position than the person who made the reviewable decision.

The reviewer will consider all information provided by the auditor and may confirm the decision, amend the decision or set it aside and replace it with another decision. The reviewer will be advised in writing of the reviewer's decision including the reasons for the decision.

The reviewer's decision is not subject to further review or appeal under this Audit Standard.

6 Registered Training Organisation (RTO)

Fatigue Management training is required to be completed for participation in Alternative Compliance Accreditation for Fatigue and must be delivered by an NHVR approved RTO.

The eligibility requirements for an RTO to be recognised and approved by the NHVR are contained in The Fatigue units of competency – RTO guide (as amended from time to time) which is published by the NHVR, at www.nhvr.gov.au.

The NHVR may monitor the delivery of courses by approved RTOs to ensure course content is consistently delivered in accordance with training and assessment material that the RTO provided to the NHVR when it applied for approval.

7 Glossary

The following terms are specific to this National Audit Standard:

Term	Definition
ACA	Alternative Compliance Accreditation - accreditation granted under section 458 of the Act in relation to a prescribed operations requirement.
Accreditation	Accreditation means the grant of heavy vehicle accreditation by the NHVR under section 458 of the HVNL
Approved auditor	A person registered with the NHVR to conduct NHVAS audits
Audit	A systematic, planned and documented activity performed by independent auditors to verify that an operator's management system has been developed, documented and implemented according to the relevant SMS Standard and Alternative Compliance Accreditation requirements.
Audit Criteria	A set of requirements of which objective evidence is compared.
Audit Criteria and Report	The audit tool approved by NHVR to be used by auditors when undertaking audits.
National Audit Standard	Audit Standard (this document)
Compliance Audit Application (CAA)	An application submitted by the operator to confirm that a compliance audit has been arranged with an auditor.
Compliance audit	Scheduled audit undertaken on accredited operators participating in the Scheme. These audits examine whether the operator's safety management system is in place, operational and fully adheres to the accreditation standard. Compliance audits occur in the last six months of each accreditation period
Conformance	The operator's safety management system fulfills the requirements of a specific SMS Standard criteria.
CoR	Chain of Responsibility
Corrective Action	Action nominated by an operator and confirmed by an auditor to remedy a nonconformance to prevent reoccurrence.
Corrective Action Report (CAR)	The documented remedial action where an operator's management system fails to meet an Accreditation Standard. CARs are included by the auditor in the Audit Report and must have an agreed proposed corrective action before accreditation can commence or continue.
Effective (E)	There is evidence that the audit criteria's relevant indicator is achieving the desired outcome and has a positive safety impact.
Exemplar Global (EG)	An internationally recognised organisation that provides certification, skills assessments, and training services for professionals, including auditors
Entry audit	The audit undertaken on the system of an operator applying for accreditation. This audit confirms that the criteria of the SMS Standard and Alternative Compliance Accreditation are Present and Suitable in the operator's safety management system.
Evidence	Records, statements of fact or other information which are relevant to the audit criteria and verifiable.
Findings	Findings are the conclusions drawn from reviewing evidence during an audit. They show whether processes or practices meet expected standards or not. These findings help identify areas that need fixing, opportunities to improve, or examples of things being done well.
GSA	General Safety Accreditation
HVNL	The Heavy Vehicle National Law as it applies in the particular jurisdiction.
HVA	Heavy Vehicle Accreditation

Term	Definition
Internal audit	A self-assessment audit conducted by the operator to evaluate their performance, compliance with regulations, and effectiveness of internal controls.
Lead Auditor	The person responsible for planning, conducting, and overseeing an audit. They guide the audit team, ensure the audit follows the correct process, and make final decisions about audit findings. The Lead Auditor also communicates results to stakeholders and ensures any issues are properly addressed.
NAS	National Audit Standard (this document)
NHVAS	The National Heavy Vehicle Accreditation Scheme
NHVR	The National Heavy Vehicle Regulator
Objective evidence	Information that can be proved true, based on facts obtained through observation, inquiry, measurement, testing or other means.
Operating (O)	There is evidence that the audit criteria's relevant indicator is in use, and an output is being produced
Operator	The person responsible for controlling or directing the use of a vehicle or a towing vehicle in a combination
Opportunity For Improvement (OFI)	An observation made by the auditor where there may be a weakness in a management system or process which has not yet caused a material impact to the organisation but there is potential. The implementation of the opportunity is at the discretion of the Scheme participant.
Present (P)	There is evidence that the audit criteria's relevant indicator is documented within the organisation's SMS documentation.
Procedure	A specified and documented method of performing an activity.
PSOE	A method of auditing to determine that criteria indicators are Present, Suitable, Operating, and Effective
Record	A document, physical or otherwise, that furnishes objective evidence during an audit of activities related to NHVAS accreditation.
Road Transport Law	The HVNL and roads and traffic legislation operating within each Australian state and territory.
RTO	Registered Training Organisation
Safety Management System (SMS)	A group of policies, systems and procedures relating to the safety of the operator's transport activities and the driving of heavy vehicles that complies with section 457A of the Act.
Sanction	A remedial measure taken by the NHVR to improve standards of performance by NHVAS-approved auditors.
Scope	The extent and boundaries of an audit. The audit scope generally includes a description of the physical and virtual locations, functions, organisational units, activities and processes, as well as the period covered.
Suitable (S)	The audit criteria's relevant indicator is suitable based on the size, nature, and complexity of the organisation and the inherent risk in its activity.
Third Party	An external entity to whom the Regulator has delegated its authority to perform a regulatory function under the HVNL.

8 Related Legislation and Documents

- Heavy Vehicle National Law (HVNL)

- Heavy Vehicle (General) National Regulation
- Ministerial Guidelines for Heavy Vehicle Accreditation
- SMS Standard
- Schedule 1 – SMS Evidence Requirements
- Standard for Alternative Compliance Hours
- Audit Criteria Report
- Compliance Audit Application (CAA)